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Since September 11, 2001 international community has taken unprecedented response to terrorism and has accelerated counter terrorism efforts. While terrorism has been on the agenda of the United Nations since its inception in 1945 and members of the United Nations, including the Security Council members have fallen victims of terrorism, the United Nations has been slow and largely ineffective in its response to terrorism. The new impetus of the United Nations, thus, arise questions as to whether the United Nations efforts are tailored to secure American interest in its declared war against terrorism. Qualitative methods were used to deduce whether the assumptions used for the study were valid: the United States of America has been able to use the United Nations as an instrument of foreign policy, and has been using the United Nations on matters related to terrorism, before and after the September 11, 2001; and in the wake of the September 11, the United Nations quest to counter terrorism advances the United States grand strategy and helps the United States in its war against terrorism. The findings are conclusive and the results support the two assumptions used in the study. Findings also suggest that although the United Nations initiatives are tailored towards United States policy objectives, the United Nations initiatives also benefit other countries.
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